

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/681,586	GOGOLAK, VICTOR V. Art Unit 2129	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 71

- (1) BENJAMIN BUSS. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Peter J. Davis (Reg. No. 36,119). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 August 2010

**Time:** 4:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amending claims 1 and 15 to fix an antecedent basis issue for the "correlator", amending the abstract so that it is under 150 words in length, and asked for applicant's agreement that clearer replacement drawings for Figures 1-5, 12-15, and 17-21 will be filed. Applicant authorized these amendments and drawing replacement.